

United States Patent and Trademark Office

cen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,218	11/20/2003	Wen-Chou Vincent Wang	ALTRP100/A1198	3208	
51501 7590 07/24/2007 BEYER WEAVER LLP		EXAMINER			
ATTN: ALTERA			RAO, SHRINIVAS H		
P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814	<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			.07/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/719,218	WANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Steven H. Rao	2814		

	Steven H. Rao	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later. 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belon (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>see below</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33)	-	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16 and 37-40. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFEIDAVIT OR OTHER EXIDENCE.		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a l).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Mall.	
		HOWARI PRIMARY	OWEISS EXAMINER

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants' have amended only independent claim 1 (at this stage after final) thus amending all claims requiring a new search. Applicants' arguments (a molding interface material to control at least one of tensile and shear stresses experienced by the die and substantially caused by a molding cap) are based on particular use for which patentable weight need not be given. Applicants' argument that DeStefano"s encapsulant 52 allegedly should be not be equated with Applicants' molding interface material is not persuasive because nomenclature is not relevant here, DeStefano's element 52 described at least in col.8 lines 10-16 is the same material as Applicants' molding interface material described in their specification page 11 lines 25-30 and used for same purposes in same environment Lastly as stated in the rejection. Destafano in col. 13 lines 55 to 65 clearly describes controlling sheer stress. Thus the application is not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.

2